UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/520,387	12/19/2005	Rita Boge Andersen	05198-P0006A	5168
24126 7590 01/03/2011 ST. ONGE STEWARD JOHNSTON & REENS, LLC			EXAMINER	
986 BEDFORD	STREET	DEES, NIKKI H		
STAMFORD, CT 06905-5619			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1781	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/03/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/520,387	ANDERSEN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Nikki H. Dees	1781	

	NIKKI H. Dees	1 / 81				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 23 December 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07)	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the filed wi</li></ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
AMENDMENTS	p =	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, leading to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, leading the proposed amendment(s). They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below the proposed to place the application in both the proposed amendment to place the application in both the proposed amendment to place the application in both the proposed amendment to place the application in both the proposed amendment to place the application in both the proposed amendment (s).	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw);	E below);				
<ul> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present o</li></ul>			ie issues ioi			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1						
4. $\square$ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).						
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1, 3-14, 16-20, and 23-34. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		i be entered and an e	xpianation of			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a			
10. $\square$ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu  See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
/Keith D. Hendricks/	/Nikki H. Dees/					
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1781	Examiner, Art Unit 1781					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments to independent claims 1 and 23 narrow the contents of the gum base granules and would require further search and consideration .

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are directed to the failure of the prior art to teach granules consisting essentially of water-insoluble gum base ingredients. As the claims requiring this limitation are newly presented and not entered, Applicant's arguments are not persuasive in overcoming the previously presented rejection.